

# Information Literacy and Critical Thinking: Different concepts, shared conceptions.

Helena Hollis

University College London, Department of Information Studies

helenahollis.14@ucl.ac.uk

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1950-0657>

## Abstract

**Introduction.** Information literacy and critical thinking are discussed as distinct concepts by authors in different disciplines. This paper seeks to analyse their conceptions to determine the extent to which they overlap, and identify areas for collaboration across disciplinary lines.

**Method.** A hermeneutic literature review was conducted, followed by a content analysis of information literacy papers discussing content evaluation, and critical thinking papers from key authors.

**Analysis.** Proportions of identified themes represented within the two groups of papers were compared. Similarities and differences were assessed in conjunction with findings from the hermeneutic literature review.

**Results.** Though divergent in their basic underpinning skills, critical thinking and information literacy conceptions pertaining to content evaluation were found to be strongly overlapping in their broader conceptions. Modern pressures giving rise to content evaluation concerns such as the 'fake news' phenomenon suggest a need for strong sense conceptions, and an avenue for integration between information literacy and critical thinking when evaluating information.

**Conclusion.** Taken in their strong sense, information literacy and critical thinking conceptions show a high degree of overlap. Engagement across disciplinary lines could offer an enrichment to both concepts.

**Keywords:** Information Literacy; Critical Thinking; Conceptions; Content Analysis

## 1. Introduction

Disciplinary boundaries can create arbitrary lines between participants in parallel discourses, and this paper will argue that this is the case in the academic conversation about information literacy and critical thinking. Information literacy and critical thinking share a highly similar timeline, rising to prominence as factors of interest in the 80s and continuing to be expounded upon until today (Ennis, 2015; Grafstein, 2002). Which concept one engages with is at least in part attributable to which discipline one falls under, with library

and information science theorists and practitioners discussing information literacy, and philosophers, psychologists and education researchers more likely to discuss critical thinking (Albitz, 2007). However, the development of ideas around both concepts has shared features: both were initially conceived of as relatively simple, procedural abilities; both have since been diversified and viewed with increasing nuance and complexity. There has been some acknowledgement of a relationship between these concepts (e.g. Albitz, 2007; Weiner, 2011), however no thorough mapping of their similarities and differences including key views from all disciplines has been made.

This paper uses John Rawls' distinction between concepts and conceptions, the former being the defined meaning of a term, and the latter being the specific ways the term is operationalised (Rawls, 1971). Robert Ennis, a prominent thinker in the field of critical thinking, has argued that debate over definition is often a result of authors presenting differing conceptions of the same concept, and in doing so largely speaking past one another (Ennis, 2016, 2018). For Ennis, the different ways of defining critical thinking are *"just different ways of cutting the same conceptual pie"* (Ennis, 2016, p. 9). A parallel argument has been made about attempts to define information literacy (Owusu-Ansah, 2005). These concerns highlight a potential narrowing in focus that can take place when emphasising a single definition of a concept rather than plural conceptions. This paper will not seek to define the concepts in question; it is not concerned with identifying the necessary and sufficient factors that constitute them. Instead, information literacy and critical thinking conceptions will be explored to highlight similarities and differences between them. It is worth investigating whether these different concepts in fact share the same conceptions, and if therefore participants in the different disciplines associated with them are missing out on an opportunity for shared dialogue.

## **2. Literature review**

A hermeneutic literature review was undertaken in order to develop an understanding of the discourse around critical thinking, and around information literacy, as well as identifying the specific issues within them, in an iterative process (Boell & Cecez-Kecmanovic, 2010).

### **2.1. Background**

Though definition is not the aim of this paper, it is useful to briefly describe both terms to clarify how they are used in this paper. The Chartered Institute of Library and Information Professionals (CILIP) definition is used for outlining information literacy. It is summarised as:

"Information literacy is the ability to think critically and make balanced judgements about any information we find and use. It empowers us as citizens to reach and express informed views and to engage fully with society" (CILIP, 2018)

This is a highly contemporary definition that takes the focus away from a previous emphasis on searching for information (CILIP, 2017), and towards critical thinking as a primary concern. This is arguably representative of a wider shift in information literacy conceptualisation that makes understanding critical thinking a vital need. The concept of critical thinking as used in this paper is best captured by Ennis, whose definition has been

widely adopted: *“Critical thinking is reasonable reflective thinking that is focused on deciding what to believe or do”* (Ennis, 2016, p. 8).

### **2.1.1. Existing comparisons**

Other researchers have explored the relationship between information literacy and critical thinking, but this has predominantly been from a library and information science perspective. Allen (2008) maps what she views as the core concepts of critical thinking against the ACRL standards (ALA, 2000), demonstrating how the two constructs are very closely matched. However, her mapping is entirely from an information literacy perspective; she does not engage with critical thinking conceptions from authors in the philosophy, psychology or education literature. Wiener (2011) sought make a wider comparison by conducting a systematic review of the education, library and information science, and health literature that contained ‘information literacy’ and ‘critical thinking’ as terms. It should be noted that philosophy and psychology publications were not included in this literature review. He found that information literacy is more general, while critical thinking is subject-specific; however, this finding may represent a cohort effect of having only included education publications; arguments for subject specificity are often associated with education (e.g. Bailin, Case, Coombs, & Daniels, 1999), while various critical thinking conceptions outside of education do consider it to be general (e.g. Ennis, 1990; Kuhn, 1991; Siegel, 1997). Wiener found that critical thinking is a more private, mental activity while information literacy is a more acquirable set of skills; again, this reflects specific conceptions and does not take into account many skills-based instructional approaches to critical thinking (e.g. Abrami et al., 2015; Ennis, 2018). Both of Wiener’s claims about information literacy (that it is general, and skills based) are highly controversial, and do not represent many prominent conceptions (e.g. Webber & Johnston, 2000). However, despite the narrow view of both concepts presented, Wiener’s review does identify a strong overlap in the language that appears alongside either of these terms in this literature. This gives an indication that there are similarities in these conceptions that are worth investigating further.

Information literacy publications often contain different views on how these concepts interact. A common view of their relationship from a library and information science perspective is that information literacy instruction increases critical thinking (Barnard, Nash, & O’Brien, 2005; L. H. Taylor, 2008; R. H. Taylor & Patterson, 2000). Some authors also suggest the causal relationship runs in the opposite direction, and that critical thinking can lead to increased information literacy (Carder, Willingham, & Bibb, 2001; Paul & Elder, 2005). Alternatively, information literacy can be seen as species of critical thinking, or an application of it, even by library and information science professionals such as Reece who says *“information literacy is a form of critical thinking applied to the realm of information”* (Reece, 2005, p. 488), and other authors also subscribe to this view (e.g. Reed & Stavreva, 2006). Information literacy has also been described as a sub-component of critical thinking by Paul and Elder, who take the stance that critical thinking is broader because:

“To understand any body of content, any human communication, any book, film, or media message, a person must understand not simply the

raw “information” it contains, but also its purpose, the questions it raises, the concepts that structure the information, the assumptions underlying it, the conclusions drawn from it, the implications that follow from those conclusions, and the point of view that informs it.” (Paul & Elder, 2005, pp. 11–12)

However, this appears to be a highly narrow construal of what information literacy is, not fitting with the ways it is generally conceptualised (e.g. CILIP, 2018). Conversely, Ward (2006) calls for a recognition that critical thinking is not all there is to information literacy, but that *“We use psychological processes of intuition and imagination as much as critical thinking to mediate our relationship to the world”* (Ward, 2006, p. 5), noting that information comes from within as well as from the external source at hand. This is something that is in fact integrated into broader conceptions of critical thinking, as will be discussed later. It is interesting to note here that library and information science authors such as Ward seem to have a very narrow construal of critical thinking, while psychology and philosophy authors Paul and Elder have an equally narrow view of information literacy. A thorough comparison of different conceptions that fall under both terms may therefore promote a widening of the comprehension of these concepts across disciplinary lines.

### **2.1.2. Weak and strong sense critical thinking and information literacy**

Although his and Elder’s view of information literacy is narrow, Paul took a strong stance against very narrow and atomistic conceptions of critical thinking. He distinguished between “weak sense” and “strong sense” critical thinking; the former being a procedural, skills-based approach, and the latter a much broader reflective, ethically oriented, and socially contextualised conception (Paul, 1981). It is noteworthy that information literacy has a similar dichotomy between “back to basics” skills-based approaches and wider conceptions (LaGuardia, 1992). The discourse around the basic or complex conceptions of both concepts is also associated with views as to whether they are subject-specific or generalisable in their application (Ennis, 1990; Grafstein, 2002; McPeck, 1981). As this highly analogous debate is present in both fields, Paul’s (1981) division into weak sense and strong sense conceptions will be used hereon in for both information literacy and critical thinking. The weak sense conceptions of both concepts can be seen as a sub-component of strong sense conceptions; the basics are not rejected by strong sense conceptions, they are included and built upon. When seeking an overlap between critical thinking and information literacy conceptions, therefore, it makes sense to focus on strong sense conceptions of both concepts. In critical thinking, strong sense conceptions are taken to be those that go beyond formal logic and syllogistic reasoning and include broader considerations (Paul, 1981), and in information literacy they are those that extend beyond the basics of searching and source evaluation (LaGuardia, 1992), but tackle the evaluation of content and wider contexts (CILIP, 2018).

## **3. Method**

Following the hermeneutic literature review, a content analysis was conducted to explore the themes in strong sense conceptions of information literacy and critical thinking.

### **3.1. Materials**

Full text papers on critical thinking and information literacy were used. These consisted of critical thinking papers (n=42), selected from the hermeneutic literature review process to represent prominent strong sense conceptions from the fields of psychology, philosophy and education. Information literacy papers discussing content evaluation were first sought (n=186), in keeping with the CILIP (2018) definition, and strong sense conceptions. In addition to the academic papers, prominent information literacy frameworks (n=7) were also included, and are referred as papers. From this large information literacy paper set, a sub-selection of papers containing “critical AND thinking” with a frequency of >0.25% were used for thematic analysis (n=64). Using the AND operator allowed for the inclusion of IL papers that do not necessarily directly utilise the language of “critical thinking”, while still capturing ‘thinking’ and being ‘critical’. See Appendix A for search terms and full list of papers. Nvivo 12 pro was used for the coding of papers. Statistical analysis was conducted using R 3.5.3.


### **3.2. Procedure**

Critical thinking and the sub-selection of information literacy papers were coded using an emergent coding process that drew upon the literature review and was further refined through cluster analyses. This process yielded 11 themes (see Appendix B). Any given reference could be coded with multiple themes. The number of papers containing each theme, and the number of references per theme, grouped by origin from information literacy or critical thinking sources, were extracted. The proportions of papers and references per theme from the information literacy and critical thinking sets were analysed.

## **4. Results**

The number of papers which made reference to each theme was identified. The proportions of information literacy and critical thinking papers containing each theme can be seen in Figure 2, ordered by the highest percentage of information literacy papers to the lowest.

Figure 1: Graph of the percentage of IL and CT papers containing each theme




An independent proportion analysis was conducted to determine whether any of the differences between how many critical thinking and information literacy papers included material coded to each theme were significant. Fisher's exact test was used due to the low sample sizes (see Table 1 for results).

Only "IL CT relationship", "Information skills" and "Logical reasoning" showed a significant difference between the number of information literacy and critical thinking papers that contained references to them. Based on the odds ratio, the odds of a paper containing the theme "IL CT relationship" and "Information skills" were 99.814 (20.920, 975.154) and 24.506 (3.347, 1087.506) times higher, respectively, if it was from the field of information literacy than critical thinking. Conversely, for every critical thinking paper containing the "Logical reasoning" theme the odds of an information literacy paper mentioning it were 0.119 (0.003, 0.881). However, all of these effects have an extremely wide 95% CI, and therefore cannot be considered representative. A much larger sample size would be needed to confirm the effect (Assuming the same proportions in results, taking "IL CT relationship" as an example, multiplying the sample sizes of information literacy and critical thinking papers 32x would yield a 95% CI [81.373, 144.230], which is still wide, and 3,392 papers would need to be coded, demonstrating this to be unfeasible). For all other themes, there was no significant difference between critical thinking and information literacy papers.

The number of references made to each theme originating from critical thinking or information literacy papers were identified. The percentage of references originating from

each set of papers coded under each theme can be seen in Figure 3, ordered by the highest proportion of information literacy references to the lowest.

Figure 2: Graph showing the percentage of IL and CT references coded at each theme



A comparison between proportions of critical thinking and information literacy references coded at each theme was made using a two-sample chi square test for equality of proportions with Yates continuity correction (see table 2 for results).

The themes of “IL CT relationship”, “Information skills”, “Logical reasoning” and “Social values” showed a significant difference between the number of information literacy and critical thinking references to them ( $p < .001$ ). Based upon the odds ratios, the odds of a reference to “Information skills” and “Social values” coming from an information literacy paper were 19.263 (14.869, 25.123) and 1.931 (1.562, 2.392) times higher than it coming from a critical thinking paper, respectively. For every “Logical reasoning” reference from a critical thinking paper, the odds of an information literacy reference to this theme was 0.341 (0.273, 0.424). The odds of a reference to “IL CT relationship” coming from an information literacy rather than critical thinking paper was 96.778 (26.267, 799.106), however here the 95% CI is extremely wide, likely due to the very small sample of critical thinking references to this theme, and therefore this effect cannot be considered representative. References from each set for the theme of “Psychological processes” were also significantly different, but only very slightly with the  $p$  value essentially equal to .05; furthermore the 95% CI (0.551, 1.012) crosses 1, and therefore the direction of this effect could be opposite in the population value than in this sample. There was no significant difference between critical thinking and information literacy references coded to any other themes.

Table 1

*Fisher's exact test of independence between proportions of Critical Thinking and Information Literacy papers containing each theme*

Theme	Paper Type		<i>df</i>	<i>P</i>	OR	95% CI	
	Critical Thinking	Information Literacy				Lower	Upper
Authority and Discourse	38 (90.5%)	52 (81.3%)	1	.8053	0.459	0.100	1.669
Goal-directedness	33 (78.6%)	52 (81.3%)	1	.2698	1.180	0.392	3.444
IL CT relationship	6 (4.8%)	54 (84.4%)	1	.001***	99.814	20.920	975.154
Imagination and Creativity	26 (61.9%)	44 (68.8%)	1	.5317	3.304	1.350	0.549
Information skills	30 (71.4%)	63 (98.4%)	1	.001***	24.506	3.347	1087.506
Knowledge	38 (90.5%)	48 (75%)	1	.07386	0.319	0.072	1.100
Logical reasoning	41 (97.6%)	53 (82.8%)	1	.0256*	0.119	0.003	0.881
Openness and Point of View	36 (85.7%)	49 (76.6%)	1	.3219	0.547	0.158	1.677
Psychological processes	26 (60.9%)	31 (48.4%)	1	.2323	0.581	0.241	1.370
Social values	31 (73.8%)	54 (84.4%)	1	.2169	1.904	0.651	5.644
Traits	39 (92.9%)	62 (96.9%)	1	.3827	2.364	0.259	29.489
Paper totals	42	64					

Note. \* =  $p < .05$ , \*\*\* =  $p < .001$ .



Table 2

*Two-sample chi square test for equality of proportions with Yates continuity correction of Critical Thinking and Information Literacy references coded to each theme*

Theme	Reference Type		$\chi^2$	$df$	$P$	OR	95% CI	
	Critical Thinking	Information Literacy					Lower	Upper
Authority and Discourse	247 (34.2%)	363 (36.7%)	0.994	1	.3188	1.113	0.906	1.368
Goal-directedness	126 (17.5%)	194 (19.6%)	1.126	1	.2886	1.153	0.893	1.491
IL CT relationship	2 (0.3%)	210 (21.2%)	166.730	1	.001***	96.778	26.267	799.106
Imagination and Creativity	110 (15.2%)	156 (15.8%)	0.052	1	.8205	1.041	0.792	1.371
Information skills	105 (14.5%)	759 (76.7%)	642.100	1	.001***	19.263	14.869	25.123
Knowledge	170 (23.5%)	198 (20%)	2.904	1	.08836	0.812	0.640	1.031
Logical reasoning	308 (42.7%)	200 (20.2%)	99.829	1	.001***	0.341	0.273	0.424
Openness and Point of View	138 (19.1%)	172 (17.4%)	0.739	1	.39	0.890	0.689	1.150
Psychological processes	99 (13.7%)	105 (10.6%)	3.547	1	.05966*	0.747	0.551	1.012
Social values	194 (26.9%)	411 (41.5%)	38.551	1	.001***	1.931	1.562	2.392
Traits	310 (42.9%)	420 (42.4%)	0.026	1	.8712	0.979	0.803	1.195
Reference totals	722	990						

*Note.* \* =  $p < .05$ , \*\*\* =  $p < .001$ . As for the "IL CT relationship" theme CT references had a value of <5, Fisher's exact test was used for verification of result, and also resulted in  $p .001$ .

## 5. Discussion

Findings from both the hermeneutic literature review and content analysis point to a very high degree of overlap between critical thinking conceptions and information literacy conceptions pertaining to evaluating content. There are also some striking differences. In the following discussion, both literature review and content analysis findings are discussed together to explore which themes overlap or diverge across conceptions of the two concepts.

### 5.1. Similarities

#### 5.1.1. Goal-directedness

Both information literacy and critical thinking conceptions are goal-oriented and purposeful. Frímannsson (2016) articulates the aim of critical thinking as formulating justified reasons warranting belief or action, which aligns with Ennis' definition (2015), and incorporates emphasis on reasons (Siegel, 1997). Making informed decisions and taking appropriate action are also the goals of information literacy (CILIP, 2018). The goal of fostering lifelong learning is also shared between information literacy and critical thinking authors (hooks, 1994; IFLA, 2006; Paul, 2005; Ward, 2006).

#### 5.1.2. Traits

Critical thinking conceptions often delineate dispositions and abilities (Ennis, 2015; Facione, 1990). This division appears in information literacy conceptions also, if under different terminology such as Fitzgerald's (2004) "habits of mind" and "process skills", and perhaps most notably in the ACRL framework (ACRL, 2016). Therefore, these conceptions share the same structure.

In addition to being disposed towards performing the basic skills associated with them, strong sense conceptions of both critical thinking and information include an inward sensitivity, through reflection and metacognition. Ennis defines this as the ability to *"be aware of, and check the quality of, their own thinking"* (Ennis, 2015, p. 33). Reflective critique of one's own thinking has been found to be a key critical thinking factor (Kuhn, 1991). This echoes Ward's view that *"If we fail to develop our inner information capacities, we fail to become fully information literate"* (Ward, 2006, p. 22), and also fits very closely with recent calls for rich reflection to be included in conceptions of information literacy (Corrall, 2017; Critten, 2015).

#### 5.1.3. Authority and discourse

As education is a key focus of authors working with both conceptions, it is not surprising that considerations over entering into and navigating academic discourses are strongly represented in their conceptions (e.g. Bailin & Battersby, 2009; Farrell & Badke, 2015; Vaughan, Smith, & Cranston, 2016). Authority is a central concern in information literacy (e.g. ACRL, 2016). Furthermore, radical/critical information literacy conceptions critique the conventions of academic fields, calling for deeper questioning of authority within them (Elmborg, 2006; Simmons, 2005; Whitworth, 2014). Problematising the traditions of authority within discourses is also a prime aim of many critical thinking authors (Holma,

2015; Mulnix, 2012). Therefore, especially in radical conceptions, there is strong alignment in approaches to authority and disciplinary discourses.

#### **5.1.4. Knowledge**

Knowledge building is a concern of both information literacy and critical thinking authors (Fulkerson, Ariew, & Jacobson, 2017; Thayer-Bacon, 1999), with a focus on what constitutes knowledge. The underlying epistemology and commitment to truth one holds is considered essential for thinking critically (Holma, 2015; Kuhn, 1991; Siegel, 1997). The need for sophisticated epistemological understandings is also prevalent in information literacy conceptions (Deitering & Jameson, 2008; Weiler, 2005). However, there is a difference in epistemologies between some conceptions of each concept; in information literacy some authors express a commitment to relativism (Elmborg, 2006; Hall, 2010), which is often considered antithetical to critical thinking (e.g. Siegel, 1997).

#### **5.1.5. Openness and point of view**

Sensitivity and openness to other views are strongly represented in both information literacy and critical thinking conceptions. Weiler (2005), for instance, identifies resistance to critiquing one's existing beliefs as a barrier to information literacy. Analogous arguments for critical thinking entailing openness are a core feature of its conceptions (e.g. Ennis, 2015; Facione, 1990; Kuhn, 1991; Siegel, 1997). bell hooks views one of the aims of critical thinking instruction as leading to "*radical openness*" (hooks, 1994, p. 202). In a radical information literacy perspective, in which hooks is also a highly valued author, openness is also a key theme; Whitworth (2014) argues that IL entails polyphony, dialogue and openness to transformation. There is very strong alignment between authors from both fields with respect to this theme.

#### **5.1.6. Imagination and creativity**

Many critical thinking theorists have included creativity as an essential component of their conceptions, such as Bailin (1987) who argued that creative and critical thinking ought not be viewed as mutually exclusive, but that they are in fact necessary for one another. Paul and Elder (2005) also posit that the two are integrally related. In the information literacy literature, creativity is also a strong concern; instructors have argued that information literacy requires "*creative courage*" (Reed & Stavreva, 2006, p. 439), and that a librarian's role involves encouraging active creation of information (Hall, 2010). It is also a key factor in metaliteracy (Mackey & Jacobson, 2011). The inclusion of creativity fits with strong sense conceptions, and broadens the scope of both concepts beyond procedural skills.

### **5.2. Differences**

#### **5.2.1. Information literacy and critical thinking relationship**

It is noteworthy that information literacy authors discuss the relationship between the two concepts, but critical thinking authors very rarely do. In fact, only two references were made to their relationship in the selected critical thinking literature, and both references come from the same source (Paul & Elder, 2005). It should be noted that for the thematic analysis information literacy papers were selected to include the terms 'critical' and 'thinking' and therefore the extent of this difference is likely to be a result of this sampling decision. However, even the wider hermeneutic literature review yielded no further engagement by

critical thinking authors with the concept of information literacy. This is perhaps indicative of the broad and interdisciplinary engagement with critical thinking as a concept, whilst the concept of information literacy is very tightly aligned with the field of library and information science. Despite an increase in the publication of papers on information literacy from other fields (Sproles, Detmering, & Johnson, 2013), this raises a concern for information literacy authors as to whether they are using a rarefied term that is not recognised outside of library and information science.

### **5.2.2. Psychological process**

In the comparison of references coded at each theme (though not at the level of papers), “Psychological processes” showed a small significant difference, with more critical thinking than information literacy authors making mention of the cognitive, affective and embodied processes that may underlie these concepts. However, the 95% CI for this effect did not confirm a direction in this relationship. A wider difference might have been expected given the inclusion of psychology papers for critical thinking, but not information literacy. The wider literature review found that the psychological literature on critical thinking may present a useful body of work for information literacy researchers to explore and adapt. For instance, Stanovich and Stanovich (2010) define critical thinking in terms of a dual-processing model. Interestingly, Metzger (2007) provides a model of dual processing in information literacy that is very similar. Both Stanovich and Stanovich and Metzger’s dual process models imply that cognitive work is needed for thinking critically and being information literate. Both suggest these concepts can be defined through understanding the underlying processes that constitute them. Information literacy authors could expand upon Metzger’s work through further engagement with psychological models of critical thinking.

### **5.2.3. Social values**

The proportion of references made to the theme of “Social values” showed a strong significant difference between information literacy and critical thinking (though no significant difference was found between papers), with a higher proportion of information literacy references discussing this theme. This difference can be illustrated in the way human rights are discussed by the different fields, for instance Paul and Elder’s framework requires critical thinkers to be familiar with human rights (Paul & Elder, 2005). By contrast, information literacy has been called a human right (Sturges & Gastinger, 2010; UNESCO, 2003). Therefore, the integration of human rights into information literacy conceptions is arguably far greater than into critical thinking. One possible explanation for this finding may be the difference between the professional identity of library and information science authors and the more varied backgrounds and aims of critical thinking authors. The information literacy literature often features discussion on the ethical values and principles that ought to be adopted by members of the profession (e.g. Koehler, 2003). These professional ethical concerns integrate with those associated with the concept of information literacy (Jacobs & Berg, 2011). Overall, this implies that when it comes to social values, conceptions of information literacy may have a lot to offer to critical thinking researchers. bell hooks views critical thinking as a route to “*education as the practice of freedom*” (hooks, 1994, p. 20), and argues that it is the primary enabling force for change, as all people of all genders, ethnicities, and background can use their capacity to think critically

and thus change and shape society (hooks, 1994). The social and ethical framing of information literacy conceptions could be adapted and integrated into conceptions of critical thinking to give them a stronger grounding for furthering these social justice goals.

#### **5.2.4. Weak sense information literacy and critical thinking**

Both “Logical reasoning” and “Information skills” contained a highly significantly different proportions of information literacy and critical thinking papers and references. This aligns with the difference found in the hermeneutic literature review that the basic skills associated with critical thinking (syllogistic reasoning, formal logic, etc.) were distinct from the basic skills associated with information literacy (searching, evaluating sources, etc.), and can be considered weak sense conceptions of either concept (Paul, 1981). These findings suggest that movement towards the basics is a move away from the common ground between information literacy and critical thinking, narrowing them into smaller and more distinct entities. A move away from weak sense and towards strong sense conceptions may therefore be a move towards greater merging between information literacy and critical thinking. If the ARCL framework (2016) and latest CILIP information literacy definition (2018) serve as guides, it would seem that within information literacy the movement is in the direction of merging with critical thinking conceptions.

The movement away from the basics and towards strong sense conceptions of information literacy and critical thinking presents challenges that could be addressed through collaboration between their respective fields. For instance, it is sometimes contested whether content evaluation falls under the remit of library and information professionals at all, who often report a lack of training to sufficiently aid patrons in these deeper evaluative endeavours (Bruce & Lampson, 2002; Hart, 2006; Julien & Hoffman, 2008). There are many curricula and guides for teaching critical thinking available that could in part fill this training need (e.g. Ennis, 2018; Paul & Elder, 2005). Critical thinking authors may also benefit from moving in a direction towards strong sense information literacy conceptions. For example, conducting a search and finding information (prior to evaluating that information) is a basic information literacy skill that may also require some critical thinking in a weak sense, such as in understanding the logic behind Boolean operators. However, there are deeper concerns around locating different kinds of information where strong sense critical thinking could be utilised. Critical/radical information literacy approaches widen the scope of information searching to include a questioning of *why* particular results may be appearing rather than others, and concretely who may or may not get published through specific channels (Simmons, 2005). In the era of ‘fake news’, thinking critically about what information is presented on social media and in search engine results lists seems highly important (Batchelor, 2017). This serves to illustrate how weak sense conceptions are not sufficient in complex modern information settings, and how shared and integrated strong sense conceptions of both information literacy and critical thinking have much to offer those seeking to navigate these landscapes.

### **5.3. Limitations**

It is essential to note that in the analysis presented of information literacy emphasis has been placed on content evaluation. This means that only conceptions of information literacy

that focus on content evaluation have been included, and many other conceptions more focused on other aspects of the concept (such as search skills, information management, etc.) have been excluded. For the thematic analysis, papers were selected to include the terms “critical” and “thinking”, further narrowing the scope of interest within information literacy conceptions. The comparisons made are therefore not between the entirety of critical thinking and information literacy. A single reviewer conducted the analysis, and therefore inter coder reliability could not be ascertained. Furthermore, the statistical analysis presented should be treated as illustrative only; the significance of differences between information literacy and critical thinking is dependent on the grouping of themes, and these could be re-organised from other perspectives and would thus yield different proportions. In addition, relatively small sample sizes limit the generalisability of these findings. For future analysis, a wider view of critical thinking and information literacy could be taken, and large corpuses of texts published on both subjects could be computationally analysed to yield a more far-reaching comparison.

## **6. Conclusion**

Barbara Thayer-Bacon uses the metaphor of quilting to illustrate her conception of critical thinking. She writes:

“Without ideas-information we will not have quilts of knowledge... The material makes up the bulk of the quilt. Given the importance of the specific, unique information-ideas, nevertheless, we still need pins and scissors and rulers to help us cut and fit together our ideas (critique our information), and we still need needle and thread (our intuition) to help us sew the pieces of material together. In addition, we still need our feelings and imagination to help us to decide what colours and patterns and textures to use and how to put these pieces of material together in a way that works and is aesthetically pleasing” (Thayer-Bacon, 2000, pp. 63–64)

If we conceptualise critical thinking in this collaborative and encompassing manner, it seems to fit very closely with conceptions of information literacy; the material of the quilt is the information, and both information literacy and critical thinking authors have many tools that can be shared and deployed together to work with that material and shape it in the intuitive and creative way Thayer-Bacon describes. Information literacy and critical thinking in their weak sense have been found to be distinct through emphasis on different sets of basic skills in this analysis. However, strong sense conceptions have much to offer authors working across all disciplines utilising both concepts.

## References

Abrami, P. C., Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Waddington, D. I., Wade, C. A., & Persson, T. (2015).

Strategies for Teaching Students to Think Critically: A Meta-Analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 85(2), 275–314. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654314551063>

ACRL. (2016). Framework for information literacy for higher education [Text]. Retrieved 21

September 2018, from Association of College & Research Libraries (ACRL) website:

<http://www.ala.org/acrl/standards/ilframework> (Archived by WebCite® at

<http://www.webcitation.org/77wgaCUSH>)

ALA. (2000). Information literacy competency standards for higher education. Retrieved from

<http://www.ala.org/Template.cfm?Section=Home&template=/ContentManagement/ContentDisplay.cfm&ContentID=33553> (Archived by WebCite® at

<http://www.webcitation.org/77wgpyhkb>)

Albitz, R. S. (2007). The what and who of information literacy and critical thinking in higher

education. *Portal : Libraries and the Academy; Baltimore*, 7(1), 97–109.

<http://dx.doi.org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1353/pla.2007.0000>

Allen, M. (2008). Promoting critical thinking skills in online information literacy instruction using a

constructivist approach. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 21–38.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802176780>

Bailin, S. (1987). Critical and creative thinking. *Informal Logic*, 9(1), 23–30.

<https://doi.org/10.22329/il.v9i1.2656>

Bailin, S., & Battersby, M. (2009). Inquiry: A dialectical approach to teaching critical thinking. *OSSA*

*Conference Archive*, 9, 11. Retrieved from

<https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/OSSA8/papersandcommentaries/9>

Bailin, S., Case, R., Coombs, J. R., & Daniels, L. B. (1999). Conceptualizing critical thinking. *Journal of*

*Curriculum Studies*, 31(3), 285–302. <https://doi.org/10.1080/002202799183133>

- Barnard, A., Nash, R., & O'Brien, M. (2005). Information literacy: Developing lifelong skills through nursing education. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 44(11), 505–510.
- Batchelor, O. (2017). Getting out the truth: the role of libraries in the fight against fake news. *Reference Services Review*, 45(2), 143–148. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RSR-03-2017-0006>
- Boell, S. K., & Cecez-Kecmanovic, D. (2010). A hermeneutic approach for conducting literature reviews and literature searches. *Australian Academic & Research Libraries*, 41(2), 129–144. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00048623.2010.10721450>
- Bruce, H., & Lampson, M. (2002). Information professionals as agents for information literacy. *Education for Information*, 20(2), 81–106.
- Carder, L., Willingham, P., & Bibb, D. (2001). Case-based, problem-based learning: Information literacy for the real world. *Research Strategies*, 18(3), 181–190. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0734-3310\(02\)00087-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0734-3310(02)00087-3)
- CILIP. (2017). Information literacy. Retrieved 10 April 2018, from <https://archive.cilip.org.uk/research/topics/information-literacy> (Archived by WebCite® at <http://www.webcitation.org/77whD08oM>)
- CILIP. (2018). *CILIP definition of information literacy 2018*. Retrieved from <https://infolit.org.uk/ILdefinitionCILIP2018.pdf> (Archived by WebCite® at <http://www.webcitation.org/77whJ9CdA>)
- Corrall, S. (2017). Crossing the threshold: Reflective practice in information literacy development. *Journal of Information Literacy*, 11(1), 23–53. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11645/11.1.2241>
- Critten, J. (2015). Ideology and critical self-reflection in information literacy instruction. *Communications in Information Literacy*, 9(2), 145–156. <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2015.9.2.191>
- Deitering, A.-M., & Jameson, S. (2008). Step by step through the scholarly conversation: A collaborative library/writing faculty project to embed information literacy and promote



- critical thinking in first year composition at Oregon State University. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 57–79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802176830>
- Elmborg, J. (2006). Critical Information Literacy: Implications for Instructional Practice. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 32(2), 192–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2005.12.004>
- Ennis, R. H. (1990). The extent to which critical thinking is subject-specific: Further clarification. *Educational Researcher*, 19(4), 13–16. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X019004013>
- Ennis, R. H. (2015). Critical thinking: A streamlined conception. In M. Davies & R. Barnett (Eds.), *The Palgrave Handbook of Critical Thinking in Higher Education* (pp. 31–47). <https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137378057.0005>
- Ennis, R. H. (2016). Definition: A three-dimensional analysis with bearing on key concepts. *OSSA Conference Archive*, 115. Retrieved from [https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/OSSA11/papersandcommentaries/105?utm\\_source=scholar.uwindsor.ca%2Fossaarchive%2FOSSA11%2Fpapersandcommentaries%2F105&utm\\_medium=PDF&utm\\_campaign=PDFCoverPages](https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/OSSA11/papersandcommentaries/105?utm_source=scholar.uwindsor.ca%2Fossaarchive%2FOSSA11%2Fpapersandcommentaries%2F105&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) (Archived by WebCite® at <http://www.webcitation.org/77whPdoO5>)
- Ennis, R. H. (2018). Critical thinking across the curriculum: A vision. *Topoi*, 37(1), 165–184. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11245-016-9401-4>
- Facione, P. A. (1990). *Critical thinking: A statement of expert consensus for purposes of educational assessment and instruction. Research findings and recommendations*. Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED315423> (Archived by WebCite® at <http://www.webcitation.org/77wiGgbjg>)
- Farrell, R., & Badke, W. (2015). Situating information literacy in the disciplines: A practical and systematic approach for academic librarians. *Reference Services Review*, 43(2), 319–340. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RSR-11-2014-0052>

Fitzgerald, M. A. (2004). Making the leap from high school to college. *Knowledge Quest; Chicago*, 32(4), 19–24.

Frímannsson, G. H. (2016). Reasons and normativity in critical thinking. *Studier i Pædagogisk Filosofi*, 4(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.7146/spf.v4i1.18594>

Fulkerson, D., Ariew, S., & Jacobson, T. (2017). Revisiting Metacognition and Metaliteracy in the ACRL Framework. *Comminfolit*, 11(1), 21.

<https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2017.11.1.45>

Grafstein, A. (2002). A discipline-based approach to information literacy. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 28(4), 197–204. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-1333\(02\)00283-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-1333(02)00283-5)

Hall, R. (2010). Public praxis: A vision for critical information literacy in public libraries. *Public Library Quarterly*, 29(2), 162–175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01616841003776383>

Hart, G., ghart@uwc.ac.za. (2006). Public librarians and information literacy education: views from Mpumalanga Province. *South African Journal of Libraries & Information Science*, 72(3), 172–184.

Holma, K. (2015). The critical spirit: Emotional and moral dimensions of critical thinking. *Studier i Pædagogisk Filosofi*, 4(1), 17–28. <https://doi.org/10.7146/spf.v4i1.18280>

hooks, b. (1994). *Teaching to transgress: Education as the practice of freedom*. New York, NY: Routledge.

IFLA. (2006). *Guidelines on information literacy for lifelong learning*. Retrieved from <https://www.ifla.org/publications/guidelines-on-information-literacy-for-lifelong-learning> (Archived by WebCite® at <http://www.webcitation.org/77wh1jgkY>)

Jacobs, H. L., & Berg, S. (2011). Reconnecting information literacy policy with the core values of librarianship. *Library Trends*, 60(2), 383–394. <https://doi.org/10.1353/lib.2011.0043>

Julien, H., & Hoffman, C. (2008). Information literacy training in Canada's public libraries. *The Library Quarterly*, 78(3), 19–41. <https://doi.org/10.1086/523908>

- Koehler, W. (2003). Professional values and ethics as defined by 'The LIS Discipline'. *Journal of Education for Library and Information Science*, 44(2), 99–119.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/40323926>
- Kuhn, D. (1991). *The skills of argument*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- LaGuardia, C. (1992). Renegade library instruction. *Library Journal*, 117(16), 51–53.
- Mackey, T. P., & Jacobson, T. E. (2011). Reframing information literacy as a metaliteracy. *College & Research Libraries*, 72(1). <https://doi.org/10.5860/crl-76r1>
- McPeck, J. E. (1981). *Critical thinking and education*. Oxford, England: Martin Robertson.
- Metzger, M. J. (2007). Making sense of credibility on the Web: Models for evaluating online information and recommendations for future research. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 58(13), 2078–2091. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.20672>
- Mulnix, J. W. (2012). Thinking Critically about Critical Thinking. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 44(5), 464–479. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-5812.2010.00673.x>
- Owusu-Ansah, E. K. (2005). Debating definitions of information literacy: enough is enough! *Library Review*, 54(6), 366–374. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00242530510605494>
- Paul, R. (1981). Teaching critical thinking in the 'Strong' sense: A focus on self-deception, world views, and a dialectical mode of analysis. *Informal Logic*, 4(2).  
<https://doi.org/10.22329/il.v4i2.2766>
- Paul, R. (2005). The state of critical thinking today. *New Directions for Community Colleges*, 2005(130), 27–38. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cc.193>
- Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2005). *A guide for educators to critical thinking competency standards*. Retrieved from [http://www.criticalthinking.org/files/SAM\\_Comp%20Stand\\_07opt.pdf](http://www.criticalthinking.org/files/SAM_Comp%20Stand_07opt.pdf) (Archived by WebCite® at <http://www.webcitation.org/77wiPY8Uq>)
- Rawls, J. (1971). *A theory of justice*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

- Reece, G. J. (2005). Critical thinking and cognitive transfer: Implications for the development of online information literacy tutorials. *Research Strategies*, 20(4), 482–493.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resstr.2006.12.018>
- Reed, S. L., & Stavreva, K. (2006). Layering knowledge: Information literacy as critical thinking in the literature classroom. *Pedagogy*, 6(3), 435–452. <https://doi.org/10.1215/15314200-2006-004>
- Siegel, H. (1997). *Rationality redeemed? Further dialogues on an educational ideal*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Simmons, M. H. (2005). Librarians as disciplinary discourse mediators: Using genre theory to move toward critical information literacy. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 5(3), 297–311.
- Sproles, C., Detmering, R., & Johnson, A. M. (2013). Trends in the literature on library instruction and information literacy, 2001-2010. *Reference Services Review*, 41(3), 395–412.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/RSR-03-2013-0014>
- Stanovich, K. E., & Stanovich, P. J. (2010). A framework for critical thinking, rational thinking, and intelligence. In D. D. Preiss & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *Innovations in educational psychology: Perspectives on learning, teaching, and human development* (pp. 195–237). New York, NY: Springer.
- Sturges, P., & Gastinger, A. (2010). Information literacy as a human right. *Libri*, 60(3), 195–202.  
<https://doi.org/10.1515/libr.2010.017>
- Taylor, L. H. (2008). Information literacy in subject-specific vocabularies: A path to critical thinking. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 141–158.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802177127>
- Taylor, R. H., & Patterson, L. (2000). Using information literacy to promote critical thinking. *Teacher Librarian*, 28(2), 9–14.
- Thayer-Bacon, B. J. (1999). The thinker versus a quilting bee: Contrasting images. *The Journal of Educational Foundations*, 13(4), 47–65.

- Thayer-Bacon, B. J. (2000). *Transforming critical thinking: Thinking constructively*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- UNESCO. (2003). *The Prague declaration: Towards an information literate society*. Retrieved from [www.unesco.org/new/fileadmin/MULTIMEDIA/HQ/CI/CI/.../PragueDeclaration.pdf](http://www.unesco.org/new/fileadmin/MULTIMEDIA/HQ/CI/CI/.../PragueDeclaration.pdf) (Archived by WebCite® at <http://www.webcitation.org/77widBLO6>)
- Vaughan, L. J., Smith, S., & Cranston, M. (2016). An Argument for Disciplinary Information Literacy. *Knowledge Quest*, 44(5), 38–41.
- Ward, D. (2006). Revisioning information literacy for lifelong meaning. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 32(4), 396–402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2006.03.006>
- Webber, S., & Johnston, B. (2000). Conceptions of information literacy: New perspectives and implications. *Journal of Information Science*, 26(6), 381–397. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016555150002600602>
- Weiler, A. (2005). Information-seeking behavior in generation Y students: Motivation, critical thinking, and learning theory. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 31(1), 46–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2004.09.009>
- Weiner, J. M. (2011). Is there a difference between critical thinking and information literacy? *Journal of Information Literacy*, 5(2), 81–92. <https://doi.org/10.11645/5.2.1600>
- Whitworth, A. (2014). *Radical information literacy: Reclaiming the political heart of the IL movement*. Oxford, England: Chandos Publishing.

## Appendix A: Papers used in content analysis

### IL paper search terms

The UCL discovery system (UCL Explore) was used to conduct the following searches:

Term(s) 1	Operator	Term(s) 2	Database	Filter to	Hits	Papers used
"Information Literacy"	AND	"Content Evaluation"	Explore	Articles	84	20
		"Critical thinking"	Explore	Articles	4,581	55
		Critique	Explore	Articles	4,581	35
		Reason*	Explore	Articles	11,760	11
		thinking	Explore	Articles	9,886	12
		epistem*	Explore	Articles	1,245	9
		wisdom	Explore	Articles	1,282	6
		rhetoric	Explore	Articles	1,163	12
		argument	Explore	Articles	2,950	26

### IL frameworks used in text analysis

ACRL. (2016). Framework for information literacy for higher education [Text]. Retrieved 21 September 2018, from

Association of College & Research Libraries (ACRL) website: <http://www.ala.org/acrl/standards/ilframework>

Bundy, A. (Ed.). (2004). *Australian and New Zealand information literacy framework: Principles, standards and practice. 2nd edition.* Australian and New Zealand Institute for Information Literacy.

CILIP. (2018). *CILIP definition of information literacy 2018*. Retrieved from

<https://infolit.org.uk/ILdefinitionCILIP2018.pdf>

Garner, S. D. (Ed.). (2005). *High-level colloquium on information literacy and lifelong learning*. Retrieved from

<https://www.ifla.org/files/assets/wsis/Documents/high-level-colloquium.pdf>

IFLA. (2006). *Guidelines on information literacy for lifelong learning*. Retrieved from

<https://www.ifla.org/publications/guidelines-on-information-literacy-for-lifelong-learning>

SCONUL. (2011). *The SCONUL seven pillars of information literacy: Core model*. Retrieved from

<https://www.sconul.ac.uk/sites/default/files/documents/coremodel.pdf>

Secker, J., & Coonan, E. (2011). *A new curriculum for information literacy: Curriculum and supporting documents*.

Retrieved from [http://ccfil.pbworks.com/f/ANCIL\\_final.pdf](http://ccfil.pbworks.com/f/ANCIL_final.pdf)

### Full list of IL papers used in text analysis

Adam Holland, G. (2006). Associating social constructionism and extended cognition in information studies. *Journal of Documentation*, 62(1), 91–100. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00220410610642066>

- Adam, T., Burgess, C., McPhee, K., Olson, L., & Sich, C. (2018). Collaboratively Creating a Programmatic Information Literacy Strategy: Challenges and Opportunities. *Partnership: The Canadian Journal of Library and Information Practice and Research*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.21083/partnership.v13i1.4063>
- Agosto, D. E. (2002). A model of young people's decision-making in using the Web. *Library & Information Science Research*, 24(4), 311–341. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-8188\(02\)00131-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-8188(02)00131-7)
- Albitz, R. S. (2007). The What and Who of Information Literacy and Critical Thinking in Higher Education. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 7(1), 97–109. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2007.0000>
- Alfino, M., Pajer, M., Pierce, L., & Jenks, K. O. (2008). Advancing Critical Thinking and Information Literacy Skills in First Year College Students. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 81–98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802176871>
- Alvermann, D. E. (2017). Social Media Texts and Critical Inquiry in a Post-Factual Era. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 61(3), 335–338. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.694>
- Angell, K., Tewell, E., & Long Island University, Brooklyn. (2017). Teaching and Un-Teaching Source Evaluation: Questioning Authority in Information Literacy Instruction. *Comminfolit*, 11(1), 95. <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2017.11.1.37>
- Armstrong, J. (2010). Designing a writing intensive course with information literacy and critical thinking learning outcomes. *Reference Services Review*, 38(3), 445–457. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00907321011070928>
- Auberry, K. (2018). Increasing students' ability to identify fake news through information literacy education and content management systems. *The Reference Librarian*, 59(4), 179–187. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02763877.2018.1489935>
- Aydelott, K. (2007). Using the ACRL Information Literacy Competency Standards for Science and Engineering/Technology to Develop a Modular Critical-Thinking-Based Information Literacy Tutorial. *Science & Technology Libraries*, 27(4), 19–42. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J122v27n04\\_03](https://doi.org/10.1300/J122v27n04_03)
- Badke, W. (2014). Who Owns Information Literacy? *Online Searcher*, 38(4), 68–70.
- Baker, R. L. (2014). Designing LibGuides as Instructional Tools for Critical Thinking and Effective Online Learning. *Journal of Library & Information Services in Distance Learning*, 8(3–4), 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1533290X.2014.944423>
- Barnhisel, G., Rapchak, M., & Duquesne University. (2014). Wikipedia and the Wisdom of Crowds: A Student Project. *Comminfolit*, 8(1), 145. <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2014.8.1.158>

- Bates, M. J. (2018). Concepts for the Study of Information Embodiment. *Library Trends*, 66(3), 239–266.  
<https://doi.org/10.1353/lib.2018.0002>
- Bawden, D. (2001). Information and digital literacies: a review of concepts. *Journal of Documentation*, 57(2), 218–259. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000007083>
- Bayram, H., & Comek, A. (2009). Examining the relations between science attitudes, logical thinking ability, information literacy and academic achievement through internet assisted chemistry education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1(1), 1526–1532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2009.01.269>
- Brannon, B. (2017). The Service Implications of a Rhetorical Approach to Information Literacy. *Public Services Quarterly*, 13(2), 124–133. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228959.2017.1301843>
- Bravo, R., Lucia, L., & J. Martin, M. (2013). Assessing a web library program for information literacy learning. *Reference Services Review*, 41(4), 623–638. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RSR-05-2013-0025>
- Breivik, P. S. (2005). 21st century learning and information literacy. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 37(2), 21–27. <https://doi.org/10.3200/CHNG.37.2.21-27>
- Brookfield, S. D. (2015). Teaching Students to Think Critically About Social Media: Teaching Students to Think Critically About Social Media. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 2015(144), 47–56.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.20162>
- Brooks, J. S., & Normore, A. H. (2010). Educational Leadership and Globalization: Literacy for a Glocal Perspective. *Educational Policy*, 24(1), 52–82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0895904809354070>
- Bryan, J. E. (2014). Critical thinking, information literacy and quality enhancement plans. *Reference Services Review*, 42(3), 388–402. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RSR-01-2014-0001>
- Buchanan, S., Harlan, M. A., & Bruce, C. (2016). *Inquiry Based Learning Models, Information Literacy, and Student Engagement: A literature review*. 22(2), 23-39.
- Budd, J. M. (2008). Cognitive Growth, Instruction, and Student Success. *College & Research Libraries*, 69(4), 319–331. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.69.4.319>
- Bush, G. (2009). Thinking around the Corner: The Power of Information Literacy. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 90(6), 446–447.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/003172170909000615>
- Campbell, M. (2010). *Collaborations Between Librarians and Faculty in a Digital Age*. 5.
- Carlito, M. D. (2018). Supporting multimodal literacy in library instruction. *Reference Services Review*, 46(2), 164–177. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RSR-02-2018-0015>



- Cassidy, E. D., & Hendrickson, K. E. (2013). Faculty–Librarian Micro-Level Collaboration in an Online Graduate History Course. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 39(6), 458–463.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2013.08.018>
- Chetty, K., Qigui, L., Gcora, N., Josie, J., Wenwei, L., & Fang, C. (2018). Bridging the digital divide: measuring digital literacy. *Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2018-23>
- Coghill, J. G. (2016). Not just where to click: Teaching students how to think about information, edited by Troy A. Swanson, and Heather Jagman: Chicago, Illinois, Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL), 2015, 440pp., \$88.00 SBN 978-0-8389-8716-2. *Journal of Electronic Resources in Medical Libraries*, 13(3), 152–153. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15424065.2016.1214857>
- Conor, E. (2017). Reframing the Framework: Situated Information Literacy in the Music Classroom. *Fontes Artis Musicae*, 64(4), 346–354.
- Cooper, L. Z. (2004). The Socialization of Information Behavior: A Case Study of Cognitive Categories for Library Information. *The Library Quarterly*, 74(3), 299–336. <https://doi.org/10.1086/422775>
- Cranney, J., Morris, S., Spehar, B., & Scoufis, M. (2008). Helping First Year Students Think Like Psychologists: Supporting Information Literacy and Teamwork Skill Development. *Psychology Learning & Teaching*, 7(1), 28–36. <https://doi.org/10.2304/plat.2008.7.1.28>
- Crist, C. A., Duncan, S. E., & Bianchi, L. M. (2017). Incorporation of Cross-Disciplinary Teaching and a Wiki Research Project to Engage Undergraduate Students' to Develop Information Literacy, Critical Thinking, and Communication Skills: Critical thinking and communication.... *Journal of Food Science Education*, 16(3), 81–91. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4329.12111>
- Deitering, A.-M., & Jameson, S. (2008). Step by Step through the Scholarly Conversation: A Collaborative Library/Writing Faculty Project to Embed Information Literacy and Promote Critical Thinking in First Year Composition at Oregon State University. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 57–79.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802176830>
- Detmering, R., & Johnson, A. M. (2011). Focusing on the Thinking, Not the Tools: Incorporating Critical Thinking Into an Information Literacy Module for an Introduction to Business Course. *Journal of Business & Finance Librarianship*, 16(2), 101–107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08963568.2011.554771>

- Detmering, R., & Johnson, A. M. (2012). 'Research Papers Have Always Seemed Very Daunting': Information Literacy Narratives and the Student Research Experience. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 12(1), 5–22. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2012.0004>
- Devereux, L., & Wilson, K. (2008). Scaffolding literacies across the Bachelor of Education program: an argument for a course-wide approach. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, 36(2), 121–134. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13598660801971633>
- Diekema, A. R., Holliday, W., & Leary, H. (2011). Re-framing information literacy: Problem-based learning as informed learning. *Library & Information Science Research*, 33(4), 261–268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2011.02.002>
- Donham, J., & Steele, M. (2008). Instructional Interventions Across the Inquiry Process. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 14(4), 3–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802046686>
- Drabinski, E. (2017). A Kairos of the Critical: Teaching Critically in a Time of Compliance. *Comminfolit*, 11(1), 76. <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2017.11.1.35>
- Drotner, K., & Kobbernagel, C. (2014). Toppling hierarchies? Media and information literacies, ethnicity, and performative media practices. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 39(4), 409–428. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2014.964255>
- Dumlao, R. (2003). Tapping into Critical Thinking: Viewer Interpretations of a Television Conflict. *SIMILE: Studies In Media & Information Literacy Education*, 3(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3138/sim.3.1.001>
- Dunaway, M. (2011). Web 2.0 and Critical Information Literacy. *Public Services Quarterly*, 7(3–4), 149–157. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228959.2011.622628>
- Eckel, E. J. (2007). Fostering Self-Regulated Learning at the Reference Desk. *Reference & User Services Quarterly*, 47(1), 16–20. <https://doi.org/10.5860/rusq.47n1.16>
- Edwards, P., Daniel, E., Greenberg, J., Kramer-Duffield, J., Taylor, H., Woodbury, D., ... Shoffner, M. (2009). Evaluating technology-, information literacy-, and content-related learning outcomes among undergraduate students in face-to-face and social networking environments. *Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 46(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1002/meet.2009.1450460376>
- Ellis, E. L., & Whatley, K. M. (2008). The Evolution of Critical Thinking Skills in Library Instruction, 1986–2006: A Selected and Annotated Bibliography and Review of Selected Programs. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 5–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802176665>

- Erjavec, K., & Volčič, Z. (2010). Information Literacy: A means of preparing the students in Slovenia for the information society. *Journal of Children and Media*, 4(1), 59–76. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17482790903407325>
- Farrell, R., & Badke, W. (2015). Situating information literacy in the disciplines: A practical and systematic approach for academic librarians. *Reference Services Review*, 43(2), 319–340. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RSR-11-2014-0052>
- Fountain, K. C. (2013). Critical Information Literacy Beyond the University: Lessons From Service in a Women's Health Interest Group. *Behavioral & Social Sciences Librarian*, 32(1), 24–45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639269.2013.750200>
- Fourie, I., & Krauss, K. (2011). Information literacy training for teachers in rural South Africa. *Journal of Systems and Information Technology*, 13(3), 303–321. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13287261111164871>
- Fulkerson, D., Ariew, S., Jacobson, T., & University of South Florida, Sarasota. (2017). Revisiting Metacognition and Metaliteracy in the ACRL Framework. *Comminfolit*, 11(1), 21. <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2017.11.1.45>
- Gainer, J. (2012). Critical Thinking: Foundational for Digital Literacies and Democracy. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 56(1), 14–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JAAL.00096>
- Gashurov, I., & Matsuuchi, A. (2013). CUNY's Critical Thinking Skills Initiative: Redesigning workforce education through information literacy learning. *College & Research Libraries News*, 74(2), 70–73. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crln.74.2.8897>
- Gibson, C. (1995). Critical Thinking: Implications for Instruction. *RQ*, 35(1), 27–35.
- Gierlach, P., & Washburn, E. K. (2018). Teaching a Cognitive Strategy for Argument-based Writing in Middle School Social Studies. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, 91(4–5), 147–154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00098655.2018.1436821>
- Giullian, J. (2009). Slavic Folklore, the Library, and the Web: A Case Study of Subject-Specific Collaborative Information Literacy at the University of Kansas. *Slavic & East European Information Resources*, 10(2–3), 200–220. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228880903191699>
- Gorichanaz, T. (2017). Information and experience, a dialogue. *Journal of Documentation*, 73(3), 500–508. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-09-2016-0114>
- Grafstein, A. (2017). Information Literacy and Critical Thinking. In *Pathways Into Information Literacy and Communities of Practice* (pp. 3–28). <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100673-3.00001-0>
- Grafstein, Ann. (2007). Information Literacy and Technology: An Examination of Some Issues. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 7(1), 51–64. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2007.0006>

- Greer, K. (2015). Connecting Inspiration with Information: Studio Art Students and Information Literacy Instruction. *Communications in Information Literacy*, 9(1), 83–94. <https://doi.org/10.7548/cil.v9i1.317>
- Gretter, S., & Yadav, A. (2016). Computational Thinking and Media & Information Literacy: An Integrated Approach to Teaching Twenty-First Century Skills. *TechTrends*, 60(5), 510–516. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-016-0098-4>
- Gross, M., & Latham, D. (2017). The peritextual literacy framework: Using the functions of peritext to support critical thinking. *Library and Information Science Research*, 39(2), 116–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2017.03.006>
- Gullbekk, E. (2016). Apt information literacy? A case of interdisciplinary scholarly communication. *Journal of Documentation*, 72(4), 716–736. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JDOC-08-2015-0101>
- Haghparast, M., Abdullah, N., & Nasaruddin, F. H. (2019). Fog learning for cultivating critical thinking in information seeking process. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, 31(8), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.5002>
- Harley, B. (2001). Freshmen, information literacy, critical thinking and values. *Reference Services Review*, 29(4), 301–306. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM00000000006492>
- Harris, B. R., & Millet, M. S. (2006). Nothing to lose: “fluency” in information literacy theory and practice. *Reference Services Review*, 34(4), 520–535. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00907320610716422>
- Henri, J., & Dillon, K. (1992). Learning to learn: Reflections upon enquiry, information literacy and critical thinking. *The Australian Library Journal*, 41(2), 103–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00049670.1992.10755607>
- Hepworth, M., Almeshmadi, F., & Maynard, S. (2014). A Reflection on the Relationship between the Study of People’s Information Behaviour and Information Literacy: Changes in Epistemology and Focus. In C. Bruce, K. Davis, H. Hughes, H. Partridge, & I. Stoodley (Eds.), *Information Experience* (Vol. 9, pp. 51–65). [https://doi.org/10.1108/S1876-0562\\_2014\\_0000010018](https://doi.org/10.1108/S1876-0562_2014_0000010018)
- Hicks, A. (2013). Cultural Shifts: Putting Critical Information Literacy into Practice. *Communications in Information Literacy*, 7(1), 50–65. <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2013.7.1.134>
- Hicks, A., & Sinkinson, C. (2015). Critical connections: personal learning environments and information literacy. *Research in Learning Technology*, 23. <https://doi.org/10.3402/rlt.v23.21193>
- Hiroko, K., Kouji, K., Motohiro, H., & Takaaki, H. (2015). Development of Ontology for Information Literacy. *Procedia Computer Science*, 60, 170–177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.08.116>
- Hjørland, B. (2005). Empiricism, rationalism and positivism in library and information science. *Journal of Documentation*, 61(1), 130–155. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00220410510578050>

- Hoffmann, D., & Wallace, A. (2013). Intentional Informationists: Re-envisioning Information Literacy and Re-designing Instructional Programs Around Faculty Librarians' Strengths as Campus Connectors, Information Professionals, and Course Designers. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 39(6), 546–551.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2013.06.004>
- Hogenboom, K. (2005). Going Beyond. gov: Using Government Information to Teach Evaluation of Sources. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 5(4), 455–466. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2005.0052>
- Holliday, W., & Fagerheim, B. (2006). Integrating Information Literacy with a Sequenced English Composition Curriculum. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 6(2), 169–184. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2006.0023>
- Holliday, W., & Rogers, J. (2013). Talking About Information Literacy: The Mediating Role of Discourse in a College Writing Classroom. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 13(3), 257–271.  
<https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2013.0025>
- Hook, S. J., Stowell Bracke, M., Greenfield, L., & Mills, V. A. (2003). In-house training for instruction librarians. *Research Strategies*, 19(2), 99–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resstr.2003.12.001>
- Hovious, A. (2018). Toward a socio-contextual understanding of transliteracy. *Reference Services Review*, 46(2), 178–188. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RSR-02-2018-0016>
- Jacobs, H. L., & Berg, S. (2011). Reconnecting Information Literacy Policy with the Core Values of Librarianship. *Library Trends*, 60(2), 383–394. <https://doi.org/10.1353/lib.2011.0043>
- Jacobs, H. L. (2008). Information Literacy and Reflective Pedagogical Praxis. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 34(3), 256–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2008.03.009>
- Jacobs, H. L. (2013). Minding the Gaps: Exploring the Space Between Vision and Assessment in Information Literacy Work. *Commfolit*, 7(2), 128. <https://doi.org/10.15760/commfolit.2013.7.2.143>
- Janke, R., Pesut, B., & Erbacker, L. (2012). Promoting information literacy through collaborative service learning in an undergraduate research course. *Nurse Education Today*, 32(8), 920–923.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2011.09.016>
- Johnson, C. M., Lindsay, E. B., & Walter, S. (2008). Learning More About How They Think: Information Literacy Instruction in a Campus-Wide Critical Thinking Project. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 231–254. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802177267>
- Johnston, B., & Webber, S. (2005). As we may think: Information literacy as a discipline for the information age. *Research Strategies*, 20(3), 108–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resstr.2006.06.005>

- Junisbai, B., Lowe, M. S., & Tagge, N. (2016). A Pragmatic and Flexible Approach to Information Literacy: Findings from a Three-Year Study of Faculty-Librarian Collaboration. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 42(5), 604–611. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2016.07.001>
- K. Morgan, P. (2014). Information literacy learning as epistemological process. *Reference Services Review*, 42(3), 403–413. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RSR-04-2014-0005>
- Kapitzke, C. (2003). Information literacy: A positivist epistemology and a politics of outformation. *Educational Theory*, 53(1), 37–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-5446.2003.00037.x>
- Khan, M. L., & Idris, I. K. (2019). Recognise misinformation and verify before sharing: a reasoned action and information literacy perspective. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2019.1578828>
- Koltay, T. (2011). Information literacy for amateurs and professionals: The potential of academic, special and public libraries. *Library Review*, 60(3), 246–257. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00242531111117290>
- Koltay, T. (2007). A new direction for library and information science: the communication aspect of information literacy. *Information Research*, 12(4), 12–14.
- Kong, S. C. (2014). Developing information literacy and critical thinking skills through domain knowledge learning in digital classrooms: An experience of practicing flipped classroom strategy. *Computers & Education*, 78, 160–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.05.009>
- Kralj, L. (2016). E-safety and Digital Skills as Part of School Curriculum. *Medijske Studije*, 7(13), 59–75. <https://doi.org/10.20901/ms.7.13.4>
- Kumari, B. K. (2016). The Role of Information Literacy Competence and Higher Order Thinking Skills to Develop Academic Writing in Science and Engineering Students. *Higher Learning Research Communications*, 6(4).
- Kwon, N., Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Alexander, L. (2007). Critical Thinking Disposition and Library Anxiety: Affective Domains on the Space of Information Seeking and Use in Academic Libraries. *College & Research Libraries*, 68(3), 268–278. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.68.3.268>
- Lafferty, K. E., Summers, A., Tanaka, S., & Cavanagh, J. (2016). Evaluating Multiple Perspectives: Approaching the Synthesis Task Through Assessing Credibility. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 59(5), 587–598. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.475>
- Laguardia, C. (2015). Grads, Critical Thinking, and Info Strategies. *Library Journal*, 140(14), 18.
- Lahlafi, A., & Rushton, D. (2015). Engaging international students in academic and information literacy. *New Library World*, 116(5/6), 277–288. <https://doi.org/10.1108/NLW-07-2014-0088>

- Lawson, T. J., & Brown, M. (2018). Using Pseudoscience to Improve Introductory Psychology Students' Information Literacy. *Teaching of Psychology*, 45(3), 220–225. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0098628318779259>
- Lenker, M. (2016). Motivated Reasoning, Political Information, and Information Literacy Education. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 16(3), 511–528. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2016.0030>
- Lenker, M. (2017). Developmentalism: Learning as the Basis for Evaluating Information. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 17(4), 721–737. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2017.0043>
- Lloyd, A. (2012). Information literacy as a socially enacted practice: Sensitising themes for an emerging perspective of people-in-practice. *Journal of Documentation*, 68(6), 772–783. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00220411211277037>
- Lloyd, A., Anne Kennan, M., Thompson, K. M., & Qayyum, A. (2013). Connecting with new information landscapes: information literacy practices of refugees. *Journal of Documentation*, 69(1), 121–144. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00220411311295351>
- Lo, P., Chao-chen Chen, J., Dukic, Z., Youn, Y., Hirakue, Y., Nakahima, M., & Yang, G. (2014). The roles of the school librarians as information literacy specialists: A comparative study between Hong Kong, Shanghai, South Korea, Taipei and Japan. *New Library World*, 115(7/8), 314–339. <https://doi.org/10.1108/NLW-01-2014-0012>
- Lowe, M. S., Stone, S. M., Booth, C., & Tagge, N. (2016). Impact of Assignment Prompt on Information Literacy Performance in First-year Student Writing. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 42(2), 127–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2016.01.002>
- Lupton, M. (2002). The Getting of Wisdom: Reflections of a Teaching Librarian. *Australian Academic & Research Libraries*, 33(2), 75–85. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00048623.2002.10755184>
- Lupton, M. (2008). Evidence, argument and social responsibility: first-year students' experiences of information literacy when researching an essay. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 27(4), 399–414. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360802406858>
- Machin-Mastromatteo, J. D. (2014). Thinking outside of literacy: Moving beyond traditional information literacy activities. *Information Development*, 30(3), 288–290. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266666914537955>
- MacMillan, M. (2009). Watching Learning Happen: Results of a Longitudinal Study of Journalism Students. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 35(2), 132–142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2009.01.002>
- Manuel, K. (2004). Generic and Discipline-Specific Information Literacy Competencies: The Case of the Sciences. *Science & Technology Libraries*, 24(3–4), 279–308. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J122v24n03\\_05](https://doi.org/10.1300/J122v24n03_05)
- Marsh, E. J., & Yang, B. W. (2017). A Call to Think Broadly about Information Literacy. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 6(4), 401–404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2017.09.012>



- Martin Davies, W. (2008). 'Not quite right': helping students to make better arguments. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 13(3), 327–340. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562510802045352>
- Martin, J., & Minnesota State University Mankato. (2013). Refreshing Information Literacy: Learning from Recent British Information Literacy Models. *Comminfolit*, 7(2), 114. <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2013.7.2.142>
- Mason, L., Boldrin, A., & Ariasi, N. (2010). Searching the Web to learn about a controversial topic: are students epistemically active? *Instructional Science*, 38(6), 607–633. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-008-9089-y>
- Maybee, C., Carlson, J., Slebodnik, M., & Chapman, B. (2015). "It's in the Syllabus": Identifying Information Literacy and Data Information Literacy Opportunities Using a Grounded Theory Approach. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 41(4), 369–376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2015.05.009>
- McClellan, S. (2016). Teaching critical thinking skills through commonly used resources in course-embedded online modules. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 23(3), 295–314. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691316.2014.987416>
- McGuigan, G. S. (2002). Exorcising the Ghost from the Machine: Confronting Obstacles to Critical Thinking Through Library Instruction. *Internet Reference Services Quarterly*, 7(3), 53–62. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J136v07n03\\_07](https://doi.org/10.1300/J136v07n03_07)
- McInnis Bowers, C., Chew, B., Bowers, M., Ford, C., Smith, C., & Herrington, C. (2009). Interdisciplinary Synergy: A Partnership Between Business and Library Faculty and Its Effects on Students' Information Literacy. *Journal of Business & Finance Librarianship*, 14(2), 110–127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08963560802362179>
- Metzger, M. J. (2007). Making sense of credibility on the Web: Models for evaluating online information and recommendations for future research. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 58(13), 2078–2091. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.20672>
- Monge, R., & Frisicar-Pawłowski, E. (2014). Redefining Information Literacy to Prepare Students for the 21st Century Workforce. *Innovative Higher Education*, 39(1), 59–73. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10755-013-9260-5>
- Muellenbach, J. M., Houk, K. M., E. Thimons, D., & Rodriguez, B. (2018). Integrating Information Literacy and Evidence-Based Medicine Content within a New School of Medicine Curriculum: Process and Outcome. *Medical Reference Services Quarterly*, 37(2), 198–206. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02763869.2018.1439225>
- Nelson, A. (2017). Visual Thinking Strategies from the Museum to the Library: Using VTS and Art in Information Literacy Instruction. *Art Documentation: Journal of the Art Libraries Society of North America*, 36(2), 281–292. <https://doi.org/10.1086/694244>



- Nielsen, B. G., & Borlund, P. (2011). Information literacy, learning, and the public library: A study of Danish high school students. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, 43(2), 106–119.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0961000611408643>
- Norgaard, R. (2003). Writing Information Literacy: Contributions to a Concept. *Reference & User Services Quarterly*, 43(2), 124–130.
- Nyrose, E. (2009). Pursuing Wisdom: An Investigation of the Relationship Between Some Ancient Religious Concepts of Wisdom and Current Notions of Critical Thinking Within Information Literacy. *Journal of Religious & Theological Information*, 8(3–4), 128–144. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10477840903523605>
- O'Connor, L., Bowles-Terry, M., Davis, E., & Holliday, W. (2010). “Writing Information Literacy” Revisited. *Reference & User Services Quarterly*, 49(3), 225–230. <https://doi.org/10.5860/rusq.49n3.225>
- Onyebuchi, G., & Ngwuchukwu, M. (2013). Information Literacy Delivery in Nigerian Primary Schools: A Case Study of Enugu State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Library, Archives & Information Science*, 23(2), 113–121.
- Pachtman, A. B., & College, M. (n.d.). *Developing Critical Thinking for the Internet*. 10.
- Pessoa, S., Mitchell, T. D., & Miller, R. T. (2017). Emergent arguments: A functional approach to analyzing student challenges with the argument genre. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 38, 42–55.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2017.10.013>
- Petrucchio, C., & Ferranti, C. (2017). Developing Critical Thinking in online search. *Journal of E-Learning and Knowledge Society*, Vol 13, No 3 (2017): EMEMITALIA Conference 2016-. <https://doi.org/10.20368/1971-8829/1390>
- Pirolli, P. (2005). Rational Analyses of Information Foraging on the Web. *Cognitive Science*, 29(3), 343–373.  
[https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog0000\\_20](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog0000_20)
- Plencner, A. (2014). Critical Thinking and the Challenges of Internet. *Communication Today*, 2, 4–19.
- Porsch, T., & Bromme, R. (2011). Effects of epistemological sensitization on source choices. *Instructional Science*, 39(6), 805–819. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-010-9155-0>
- Purdue, J. (2003). Stories, Not Information: Transforming Information Literacy. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 3(4), 653–662. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2003.0095>
- Reece, G. J. (2005). Critical thinking and cognitive transfer: Implications for the development of online information literacy tutorials. *Research Strategies*, 20(4), 482–493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resstr.2006.12.018>

- Reed, S. L. (2006). Layering Knowledge: Information Literacy as Critical Thinking in the Literature Classroom. *Pedagogy: Critical Approaches to Teaching Literature, Language, Composition, and Culture*, 6(3), 435–452. <https://doi.org/10.1215/15314200-2006-004>
- Refaei, B., Kumar, R., & Harmony, S. (2015). Working Collaboratively to Improve Students' Application of Critical Thinking to Information Literacy Skills. *Writing & Pedagogy*, 7(1), 117–137. <https://doi.org/10.1558/wap.v7i1.17232>
- Reilly, P. (2015). Strategies to Ensure Deep Learning Occurs in MBA Information Literacy Workshops. *Journal of Business & Finance Librarianship*, 20(3), 172–188. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08963568.2015.1042812>
- Rinne, N. A. (2017). The new Framework: a truth-less construction just waiting to be scrapped? *Reference Services Review*, 45(1), 54–66. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RSR-06-2016-0039>
- Rivano Eckerdal, J. (2017). Libraries, democracy, information literacy, and citizenship: An agonistic reading of central library and information studies' concepts. *Journal of Documentation*, 73(5), 1010–1033. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-12-2016-0152>
- Robert Detmering. (2010). Exploring the Political Dimensions of Information Literacy through Popular Film. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 10(3), 265–282. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.0.0110>
- Salisbury, F., & Peacock, J. (2001). Information literacy co-ordination: two dynamic approaches. *The Australian Library Journal*, 50(1), 43–52. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00049670.2001.10755941>
- Saunders, L. (2012). Faculty Perspectives on Information Literacy as a Student Learning Outcome. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 38(4), 226–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2012.06.001>
- Saunders, L. (2018). Information Literacy in Practice: Content and Delivery of Library Instruction Tutorials. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 44(2), 269–278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2017.12.022>
- Schroeder, R. (2012). Merging Critical Thinking and Information Literacy Outcomes Making Meaning or Making Strategic Partnerships. In Brucch C. & Wilkinson, C. W. (Eds.), *Transforming information literacy programs: intersecting frontiers of self, library culture, and campus community*. (pp. 131–151). Chicago, IL: ACRL.
- Sekeres, D. C., & Castek, J. (2016). Collaborative Online Inquiry: Exploring Students' Skills in Locating, Reading, and Communicating Information. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 14(2), 58–79.
- Shelley, A. E. (2009). Beyond buzz words and skill sets: the role of critical thinking in information literacy. *Library Student Journal*, 4.

- Shenton, A. K., & Hay-Gibson, N. V. (2011). Information behaviour and information literacy: The ultimate in transdisciplinary phenomena? *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science*, 43(3), 166–175.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0961000611410767>
- Shenton, A. K., & Pickard, A. J. (2014). Facilitating Pupil Thinking About Information Literacy. *New Review of Children's Literature and Librarianship*, 20(1), 64–79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13614541.2014.863671>
- Shenton, D. A. K. (2018). From Information Science to Education. *The SL*, 66(1), 15–16.
- Simmons, M. H. (2005). Librarians as disciplinary discourse mediators: Using genre theory to move toward critical information literacy. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 5(3), 297–311.
- Skipton, M. D., & Bail, J. (2014). Cognitive Processes and Information Literacy: Some Initial Results From a Survey of Business Students' Learning Activities. *Journal of Business & Finance Librarianship*, 19(3), 181–233.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/08963568.2014.915080>
- Smirnov, N., Saiyed, G., Easterday, M. W., & Lam, W. S. E. (2018). Journalism as Model for Civic and Information Literacies. *Cognition and Instruction*, 36(1), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07370008.2017.1392964>
- Smith, L. N., & McMenemy, D. (2017). Young people's conceptions of political information: Insights into information experiences and implications for intervention. *Journal of Documentation*, 73(5), 877–902.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-03-2017-0041>
- Somerville, M. M., & Howard, Z. (2008). Systems Thinking: An Approach for Advancing Workplace Information Literacy. *Australian Library Journal*, 57(3), 257–273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00049670.2008.10722479>
- Spence, L. (2004). The Usual Doesn't Work: Why We Need Problem-Based Learning. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 4(4), 485–493. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2004.0072>
- Spiranec, S., Banek Zorica, M., & Kos, D. (2016). Information Literacy in participatory environments: The turn towards a critical literacy perspective. *Journal of Documentation*, 72(2), 247–264. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-06-2015-0072>
- Starr, G. E., & Gaskill, P. (1997). The community study assignment for leisure studies: Integrating information literacy, leisure theory, and critical thinking. *Research Strategies*, 15(3), 205–216.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0734-3310\(97\)90041-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0734-3310(97)90041-0)
- Stonebraker, I., Maxwell, C., Garcia, K., & Jerri, J. (2017). Realizing critical business information literacy: Opportunities, definitions, and best practices. *Journal of Business & Finance Librarianship*, 22(2), 135–148.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/08963568.2017.1288519>

- Strømsø, H. I., Bråten, I., & Britt, M. A. (2011). Do students' beliefs about knowledge and knowing predict their judgement of texts' trustworthiness? *Educational Psychology*, 31(2), 177–206.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2010.538039>
- Sturges, P., & Gasteringer, A. (2010). Information Literacy as a Human Right. *Libri*, 60(3).  
<https://doi.org/10.1515/libr.2010.017>
- Swanson, T. (2006). Information Literacy, Personal Epistemology, and Knowledge Construction: Potential and Possibilities. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 13(3), 93–112. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J106v13n03\\_07](https://doi.org/10.1300/J106v13n03_07)
- Swanson, T. (2011). A Critical Information Literacy Model: Library Leadership Within the Curriculum. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, 35(11), 877–894. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10668920802290057>
- Taylor, L. H. (2008). Information Literacy in Subject-Specific Vocabularies: A Path to Critical Thinking. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 141–158. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802177127>
- Taylor, P. J. (2018). Critical epidemiological literacy: understanding ideas better when placed in relation to alternatives. *Synthese*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-018-01960-6>
- Taylor, R. H., & Patterson, L. (2000). Using information literacy to promote critical thinking. *Teacher Librarian*, 28(2), 9–14.
- Taylor, R. H., & Patterson, L. (2000). Getting the 'Indian' Out of the Cupboard: Using Information Literacy To Promote Critical Thinking. *Teacher Librarian*, 28(2), 9–14.
- Tewell, E. (2015). A Decade of Critical Information Literacy: A Review of the Literature. *Comminfolit*, 9(1), 24.  
<https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2015.9.1.174>
- Townsend, L., Brunetti, K., & Hofer, A. R. (2011). Threshold Concepts and Information Literacy. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 11(3), 853–869. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2011.0030>
- Tunstall, Matz, & Craig. (2018). Quantitative Literacy Courses as a Space for Fusing Literacies. *The Journal of General Education*, 65(3–4), 178. <https://doi.org/10.5325/jgeneeduc.65.3-4.0178>
- Uzomba, E. (2014). Literacy learning spaces, strategies, and opportunities in the information age: The role of libraries in creating and enhancing literacy-friendly environment for national development. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 1, 1-27.
- van Laar, E., van Deursen, A. J. A. M., van Dijk, J. A. G. M., & de Haan, J. (2017). The relation between 21st-century skills and digital skills: A systematic literature review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 72, 577–588.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.03.010>

- Vaughan, L. J., Smith, S., & Cranston, M. (2016). An Argument for Disciplinary Information Literacy. *Knowledge Quest*, 44(5), 38–41.
- Wallace, E. D., & Jefferson, R. N. (2013). Developing Critical Thinking Skills For Information Seeking Success. *New Review of Academic Librarianship*, 19(3), 246–255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13614533.2013.802702>
- Walsh, A. (2015). Playful Information Literacy: Play and Information Literacy in Higher Education. *Nordic Journal of Information Literacy in Higher Education*, 7(1), 80–94.
- Walton, G. (2017). Information literacy is a subversive activity: developing a research-based theory of information discernment. *Journal of Information Literacy*, 11(1), 137. <https://doi.org/10.11645/11.1.2188>
- Walton, G., & Cleland, J. (2017a). Information literacy: Empowerment or reproduction in practice? A discourse analysis approach. *Journal of Documentation*, 73(4), 582–594. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-04-2015-0048>
- Walton, G., & Cleland, J. (2017b). Information literacy: Empowerment or reproduction in practice? A discourse analysis approach. *Journal of Documentation*, 73(4), 582–594. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-04-2015-0048>
- Walton, G., & Hepworth, M. (2011). A longitudinal study of changes in learners' cognitive states during and following an information literacy teaching intervention. *Journal of Documentation*, 67(3), 449–479. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00220411111124541>
- Wang, Z. (2013). Instruction in Chinese academic libraries. In *Academic Libraries in the US and China* (pp. 51–85). <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-84334-691-3.50002-0>
- Ward, D. (2006). Revisioning Information Literacy for Lifelong Meaning. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 32(4), 396–402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2006.03.006>
- Weaver, K. D., & Pier, P. M. (2010). Embedded Information Literacy in the Basic Oral Communication Course: From Conception Through Assessment. *Public Services Quarterly*, 6(2–3), 259–270. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228959.2010.497455>
- Weiler, A. (2005). Information-Seeking Behavior in Generation Y Students: Motivation, Critical Thinking, and Learning Theory. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 31(1), 46–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2004.09.009>
- Whitworth, A. (2011). Empowerment or Instrumental Progressivism?: Analyzing Information Literacy Policies. *Library Trends*, 60(2), 312–337. <https://doi.org/10.1353/lib.2011.0039>
- Wiebe, T. J. (2012). Books and Websites, E-Journals or Print: If the Source Fits, Use It. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 19(1), 108–113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691316.2012.652554>

Witek, D., & Grettano, T. (2012). Information literacy on Facebook: an analysis. *Reference Services Review*, 40(2), 242–257. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00907321211228309>

Young, S., & Maley, M. (2018). Using Practitioner-engaged Evidence Synthesis to Teach Research and Information Literacy Skills: A Model and Case Study. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 44(2), 231–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2018.02.002>

## **IL paper sub-selection for thematic analysis**

IL papers containing >0.25% Critical AND Thinking

Albitz, R. S. (2007). The What and Who of Information Literacy and Critical Thinking in Higher Education. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 7(1), 97–109. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2007.0000>

Alfino, M., Pajer, M., Pierce, L., & Jenks, K. O. (2008). Advancing Critical Thinking and Information Literacy Skills in First Year College Students. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 81–98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802176871>

Angell, K., Tewell, E., & Long Island University, Brooklyn. (2017). Teaching and Un-Teaching Source Evaluation: Questioning Authority in Information Literacy Instruction. *Comminfolit*, 11(1), 95. <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2017.11.1.37>

Armstrong, J. (2010). Designing a writing intensive course with information literacy and critical thinking learning outcomes. *Reference Services Review*, 38(3), 445–457. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00907321011070928>

Baker, R. L. (2014). Designing LibGuides as Instructional Tools for Critical Thinking and Effective Online Learning. *Journal of Library & Information Services in Distance Learning*, 8(3–4), 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1533290X.2014.944423>

Bayram, H., & Comek, A. (2009). Examining the relations between science attitudes, logical thinking ability, information literacy and academic achievement through internet assisted chemistry education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1(1), 1526–1532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2009.01.269>

Breivik, P. S. (2005). 21st century learning and information literacy. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 37(2), 21–27. <https://doi.org/10.3200/CHNG.37.2.21-27>

Bryan, J. E. (2014). Critical thinking, information literacy and quality enhancement plans. *Reference Services Review*, 42(3), 388–402. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RSR-01-2014-0001>

CILIP. (2018). *CILIP definition of information literacy 2018*. Retrieved from <https://infolit.org.uk/ILdefinitionCILIP2018.pdf>

- Crist, C. A., Duncan, S. E., & Bianchi, L. M. (2017). Incorporation of Cross-Disciplinary Teaching and a Wiki Research Project to Engage Undergraduate Students' to Develop Information Literacy, Critical Thinking, and Communication Skills: Critical thinking and communication.... *Journal of Food Science Education*, 16(3), 81–91. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4329.12111>
- Deitering, A.-M., & Jameson, S. (2008). Step by Step through the Scholarly Conversation: A Collaborative Library/Writing Faculty Project to Embed Information Literacy and Promote Critical Thinking in First Year Composition at Oregon State University. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 57–79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802176830>
- Detmering, R., & Johnson, A. M. (2011). Focusing on the Thinking, Not the Tools: Incorporating Critical Thinking Into an Information Literacy Module for an Introduction to Business Course. *Journal of Business & Finance Librarianship*, 16(2), 101–107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08963568.2011.554771>
- Detmering, R., & Johnson, A. M. (2012). 'Research Papers Have Always Seemed Very Daunting': Information Literacy Narratives and the Student Research Experience. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 12(1), 5–22. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2012.0004>
- Drabinski, E. (2017). A Kairos of the Critical: Teaching Critically in a Time of Compliance. *Comminfolit*, 11(1), 76. <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2017.11.1.35>
- Dunaway, M. (2011). Web 2.0 and Critical Information Literacy. *Public Services Quarterly*, 7(3–4), 149–157. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228959.2011.622628>
- Ellis, E. L., & Whatley, K. M. (2008). The Evolution of Critical Thinking Skills in Library Instruction, 1986–2006: A Selected and Annotated Bibliography and Review of Selected Programs. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 5–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802176665>
- Fulkerson, D., Ariew, S., Jacobson, T., & University of South Florida, Sarasota. (2017). Revisiting Metacognition and Metaliteracy in the ACRL Framework. *Comminfolit*, 11(1), 21. <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2017.11.1.45>
- Gashurov, I., & Matsuuchi, A. (2013). CUNY's Critical Thinking Skills Initiative: Redesigning workforce education through information literacy learning. *College & Research Libraries News*, 74(2), 70–73. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crln.74.2.8897>
- Gibson, C. (1995). Critical Thinking: Implications for Instruction. *RQ*, 35(1), 27–35.
- Grafstein, A. (2017). Information Literacy and Critical Thinking. In *Pathways Into Information Literacy and Communities of Practice* (pp. 3–28). <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100673-3.00001-0>



- Grafstein, Ann. (2007). Information Literacy and Technology: An Examination of Some Issues. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 7(1), 51–64. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2007.0006>
- Gretter, S., & Yadav, A. (2016). Computational Thinking and Media & Information Literacy: An Integrated Approach to Teaching Twenty-First Century Skills. *TechTrends*, 60(5), 510–516. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-016-0098-4>
- Harley, B. (2001). Freshmen, information literacy, critical thinking and values. *Reference Services Review*, 29(4), 301–306. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM00000000006492>
- Harris, B. R., & Millet, M. S. (2006). Nothing to lose: “fluency” in information literacy theory and practice. *Reference Services Review*, 34(4), 520–535. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00907320610716422>
- Henri, J., & Dillon, K. (1992). Learning to learn: Reflections upon enquiry, information literacy and critical thinking. *The Australian Library Journal*, 41(2), 103–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00049670.1992.10755607>
- Hicks, A. (2013). Cultural Shifts: Putting Critical Information Literacy into Practice. *Communications in Information Literacy*, 7(1), 50–65. <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2013.7.1.134>
- Hoffmann, D., & Wallace, A. (2013). Intentional Informationists: Re-envisioning Information Literacy and Re-designing Instructional Programs Around Faculty Librarians’ Strengths as Campus Connectors, Information Professionals, and Course Designers. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 39(6), 546–551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2013.06.004>
- Hogenboom, K. (2005). Going Beyond. gov: Using Government Information to Teach Evaluation of Sources. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 5(4), 455–466. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2005.0052>
- Hovious, A. (2018). Toward a socio-contextual understanding of transliteracy. *Reference Services Review*, 46(2), 178–188. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RSR-02-2018-0016>
- Jacobs, H. (2013). Minding the Gaps: Exploring the Space Between Vision and Assessment in Information Literacy Work. *Comminfolit*, 7(2), 128. <https://doi.org/10.15760/comminfolit.2013.7.2.143>
- Jacobs, H. L., & Berg, S. (2011). Reconnecting Information Literacy Policy with the Core Values of Librarianship. *Library Trends*, 60(2), 383–394. <https://doi.org/10.1353/lib.2011.0043>
- Jacobs, H. L. M. (2008). Information Literacy and Reflective Pedagogical Praxis. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 34(3), 256–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2008.03.009>
- Johnson, C. M., Lindsay, E. B., & Walter, S. (2008). Learning More About How They Think: Information Literacy Instruction in a Campus-Wide Critical Thinking Project. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 231–254. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802177267>



- Koltay, T. (2007). A new direction for library and information science: the communication aspect of information literacy. *Information Research*, 12(4), 12–14.
- Kong, S. C. (2014). Developing information literacy and critical thinking skills through domain knowledge learning in digital classrooms: An experience of practicing flipped classroom strategy. *Computers & Education*, 78, 160–173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.05.009>
- Kwon, N., Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Alexander, L. (2007). Critical Thinking Disposition and Library Anxiety: Affective Domains on the Space of Information Seeking and Use in Academic Libraries. *College & Research Libraries*, 68(3), 268–278. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.68.3.268>
- Laguardia, C. (2015). Grads, Critical Thinking, and Info Strategies. *Library Journal*, 140(14), 18.
- Lawson, T. J., & Brown, M. (2018). Using Pseudoscience to Improve Introductory Psychology Students' Information Literacy. *Teaching of Psychology*, 45(3), 220–225. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0098628318779259>
- McClellan, S. (2016). Teaching critical thinking skills through commonly used resources in course-embedded online modules. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 23(3), 295–314. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691316.2014.987416>
- McGuigan, G. S. (2002). Exorcising the Ghost from the Machine: Confronting Obstacles to Critical Thinking Through Library Instruction. *Internet Reference Services Quarterly*, 7(3), 53–62. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J136v07n03\\_07](https://doi.org/10.1300/J136v07n03_07)
- Nelson, A. (2017). Visual Thinking Strategies from the Museum to the Library: Using VTS and Art in Information Literacy Instruction. *Art Documentation: Journal of the Art Libraries Society of North America*, 36(2), 281–292. <https://doi.org/10.1086/694244>
- Nyrose, E. (2009). Pursuing Wisdom: An Investigation of the Relationship Between Some Ancient Religious Concepts of Wisdom and Current Notions of Critical Thinking Within Information Literacy. *Journal of Religious & Theological Information*, 8(3–4), 128–144. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10477840903523605>
- Onyebuchi, G., & Ngwuchukwu, M. (2013). Information Literacy Delivery in Nigerian Primary Schools: A Case Study of Enugu State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Library, Archives & Information Science*, 23(2), 113–121.
- Petrucchio, C., & Ferranti, C. (2017). Developing Critical Thinking in online search. *Journal of E-Learning and Knowledge Society*, Vol 13, No 3 (2017): EMEMITALIA Conference 2016-. <https://doi.org/10.20368/1971-8829/1390>
- Reece, G. J. (2005). Critical thinking and cognitive transfer: Implications for the development of online information literacy tutorials. *Research Strategies*, 20(4), 482–493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resstr.2006.12.018>

- Reed, S. L. (2006). Layering Knowledge: Information Literacy as Critical Thinking in the Literature Classroom. *Pedagogy: Critical Approaches to Teaching Literature, Language, Composition, and Culture*, 6(3), 435–452. <https://doi.org/10.1215/15314200-2006-004>
- Refaei, B., Kumar, R., & Harmony, S. (2015). Working Collaboratively to Improve Students' Application of Critical Thinking to Information Literacy Skills. *Writing & Pedagogy*, 7(1), 117–137. <https://doi.org/10.1558/wap.v7i1.17232>
- Schroeder, R. (2012). Merging Critical Thinking and Information Literacy Outcomes Making Meaning or Making Strategic Partnerships. In Brucch C. & Wilkinson, C. W. (Eds.), *Transforming information literacy programs: intersecting frontiers of self, library culture, and campus community*. (pp. 131–151). Chicago, IL: ACRL.
- Shelley, A. E. (2009). Beyond buzz words and skill sets: the role of critical thinking in information literacy. *Library Student Journal*, 4.
- Simmons, M. H. (2005). Librarians as disciplinary discourse mediators: Using genre theory to move toward critical information literacy. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 5(3), 297–311.
- Skipston, M. D., & Bail, J. (2014). Cognitive Processes and Information Literacy: Some Initial Results From a Survey of Business Students' Learning Activities. *Journal of Business & Finance Librarianship*, 19(3), 181–233. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08963568.2014.915080>
- Somerville, M. M., & Howard, Z. (2008). Systems Thinking: An Approach for Advancing Workplace Information Literacy. *Australian Library Journal*, 57(3), 257–273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00049670.2008.10722479>
- Spiranec, S., Banek Zorica, M., & Kos, D. (2016). Information Literacy in participatory environments: The turn towards a critical literacy perspective. *Journal of Documentation*, 72(2), 247–264. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-06-2015-0072>
- Starr, G. E., & Gaskill, P. (1997). The community study assignment for leisure studies: Integrating information literacy, leisure theory, and critical thinking. *Research Strategies*, 15(3), 205–216. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0734-3310\(97\)90041-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0734-3310(97)90041-0)
- Stonebraker, I., Maxwell, C., Garcia, K., & Jerit, J. (2017). Realizing critical business information literacy: Opportunities, definitions, and best practices. *Journal of Business & Finance Librarianship*, 22(2), 135–148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08963568.2017.1288519>
- Swanson, T. (2006). Information Literacy, Personal Epistemology, and Knowledge Construction: Potential and Possibilities. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 13(3), 93–112. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J106v13n03\\_07](https://doi.org/10.1300/J106v13n03_07)

- Swanson, T. (2011). A Critical Information Literacy Model: Library Leadership Within the Curriculum. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, 35(11), 877–894. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10668920802290057>
- Taylor, L. H. (2008). Information Literacy in Subject-Specific Vocabularies: A Path to Critical Thinking. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 15(1–2), 141–158. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10691310802177127>
- Tewell, E. (2015). A Decade of Critical Information Literacy: A Review of the Literature. *Commfolit*, 9(1), 24. <https://doi.org/10.15760/commfolit.2015.9.1.174>
- Wallace, E. D., & Jefferson, R. N. (2013). Developing Critical Thinking Skills For Information Seeking Success. *New Review of Academic Librarianship*, 19(3), 246–255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13614533.2013.802702>
- Ward, D. (2006). Revisioning Information Literacy for Lifelong Meaning. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 32(4), 396–402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2006.03.006>
- Weiler, A. (2005). Information-Seeking Behavior in Generation Y Students: Motivation, Critical Thinking, and Learning Theory. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 31(1), 46–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2004.09.009>
- Young, S., & Maley, M. (2018). Using Practitioner-engaged Evidence Synthesis to Teach Research and Information Literacy Skills: A Model and Case Study. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 44(2), 231–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2018.02.002>

### CT papers used in both text and thematic analyses

- Abrami, P. C., Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Waddington, D. I., Wade, C. A., & Persson, T. (2015). Strategies for Teaching Students to Think Critically: A Meta-Analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 85(2), 275–314. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654314551063>
- Abrami, P. C., Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Wade, A., Surkes, M. A., Tamim, R., & Zhang, D. (2008). Instructional Interventions Affecting Critical Thinking Skills and Dispositions: A Stage 1 Meta-Analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 78(4), 1102–1134. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654308326084>
- Angeli, C., Valanides, N., & Papastephanou, M. (2011). The role of the authority of the text on critical thinking. *Interchange*, 42(3), 307–328. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10780-012-9161-z>
- Bacon, C. S., & Thayer-Bacon, B. J. (1993). ‘Real Talk’: Enhancing critical thinking skills through conversation in the classroom. *The Clearing House*, 66(3), 181–184.
- Bailin, S. (1987). Critical and creative thinking. *Informal Logic*, 9(1), 23–30. <https://doi.org/10.22329/il.v9i1.2656>
- Bailin, S., & Battersby, M. (2009). Inquiry: A dialectical approach to teaching critical thinking. *OSSA Conference Archive*, 9, 11. Retrieved from <https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/OSSA8/papersandcommentaries/9>

- Bailin, S., Case, R., Coombs, J. R., & Daniels, L. B. (1999). Conceptualizing critical thinking. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 31(3), 285–302. <https://doi.org/10.1080/002202799183133>
- Bernstein, A. G., & Isaac, C. (2018). Critical thinking criteria for evaluating online discussion. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 12(2), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.20429/ijstl.2018.120211>
- Buckley, J., Archibald, T., Hargraves, M., & Trochim, W. M. (2015). Defining and teaching evaluative thinking: Insights from research on critical thinking. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 36(3), 375–388. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1098214015581706>
- Bulgren, J., & Ellis, J. (2015). Teacher's toolkit: The argumentation and evaluation guide: Encouraging NGSS-based critical thinking. *Science Scope*, 038(07), 78–85. [https://doi.org/10.2505/4/ss15\\_038\\_07\\_78](https://doi.org/10.2505/4/ss15_038_07_78)
- Dean, D. W., & Kuhn, Deanna. (2003). *Metacognition and critical thinking* (No. ED477930; p. 13). Retrieved from Teachers College, Columbia University website: <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED477930>
- Dwyer, C. P., Boswell, A., & Elliott, M. A. (2015). An evaluation of critical thinking competencies in business settings. *Journal of Education for Business*, 90(5), 260–269. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08832323.2015.1038978>
- Elder, L., & Paul, R. (2009). Close reading, substantive writing and critical thinking: Foundational skills essential to the educated mind. *Gifted Education International*, 25(3), 286–295. <https://doi.org/10.1177/026142940902500310>
- Ennis, R. H. (1964). A definition of critical thinking. *The Reading Teacher*, 17(8), 599–612.
- Ennis, R. H. (1990). The extent to which critical thinking is subject-specific: Further clarification. *Educational Researcher*, 19(4), 13–16. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X019004013>
- Ennis, R. H. (1993). Critical thinking assessment. *Theory Into Practice*, 32(3), 179–186. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405849309543594>
- Ennis, R. H. (1996). Critical thinking dispositions: Their nature and assessability. *Informal Logic*, 18(2). <https://doi.org/10.22329/il.v18i2.2378>
- Ennis, R. H. (2015). Critical thinking: A streamlined conception. In M. Davies & R. Barnett (Eds.), *The Palgrave Handbook of Critical Thinking in Higher Education* (pp. 31–47). <https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137378057.0005>
- Ennis, R. H. (2016). Definition: A three-dimensional analysis with bearing on key concepts. *OSSA Conference Archive*, 115. Retrieved from [https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/OSSA11/papersandcommentaries/105?utm\\_source=scholar.uwindsor.ca%2Fossaarchive%2FOSSA11%2Fpapersandcommentaries%2F105&utm\\_medium=PDF&utm\\_campaign=PDFCoverPages](https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/OSSA11/papersandcommentaries/105?utm_source=scholar.uwindsor.ca%2Fossaarchive%2FOSSA11%2Fpapersandcommentaries%2F105&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

- Ennis, R. H. (2018). Critical thinking across the curriculum: A vision. *Topoi*, 37(1), 165–184.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11245-016-9401-4>
- Facione, P. A. (1990). *Critical thinking: A statement of expert consensus for purposes of educational assessment and instruction. Research findings and recommendations*. Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED315423>
- Frímannsson, G. H. (2016). Reasons and normativity in critical thinking. *Studier i Pædagogisk Filosofi*, 4(1), 3.  
<https://doi.org/10.7146/spf.v4i1.18594>
- Halpern, D. F. (1998). Teaching critical thinking for transfer across domains. *American Psychologist*, 53(4), 449–455.  
<https://doi.org/10.1037//0003-066X.53.4.449>
- Holma, K. (2015). The critical spirit: Emotional and moral dimensions of critical thinking. *Studier i Pædagogisk Filosofi*, 4(1), 17–28. <https://doi.org/10.7146/spf.v4i1.18280>
- Kuhn, D. (1999). A developmental model of critical thinking. *Educational Researcher*, 28(2), 16–46.  
<https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X028002016>
- McPeck, J. E. (1984). Stalking beasts, but swatting flies: The teaching of critical thinking. *Canadian Journal of Education / Revue Canadienne de l'éducation*, 9(1), 28. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1494448>
- Mulnix, J. W. (2012). Thinking critically about critical thinking. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 44(5), 464–479.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-5812.2010.00673.x>
- Paul, R. (2005). The state of critical thinking today. *New Directions for Community Colleges*, 2005(130), 27–38.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/cc.193>
- Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2005). *A guide for educators to critical thinking competency standards*. Retrieved from [http://www.criticalthinking.org/files/SAM\\_Comp%20Stand\\_07opt.pdf](http://www.criticalthinking.org/files/SAM_Comp%20Stand_07opt.pdf)
- Ponder, J., Veldt, M. V., & Lewis-Ferrell, G. (2011). Citizenship, curriculum, and critical thinking beyond the four walls of the classroom. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 38(4), 45–68.
- Ritola, J. (2012). Critical thinking is epistemically responsible. *Metaphilosophy*, 43(5), 659–678.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9973.2012.01773.x>
- Siegel, H. (1980). Critical thinking as an educational ideal. *The Educational Forum*, 45(1), 7–23.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00131728009336046>
- Siegel, H. (1991). The generalizability of critical thinking. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 23(1), 18–30.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-5812.1991.tb00173.x>
- Siegel, H. (2016). New work on critical thinking: Comments on Frímannsson, Holma and Ritola. *Studier i Pædagogisk Filosofi*, 4(1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.7146/spf.v4i1.22091>

- Stanovich, K. E., & Stanovich, P. J. (2010). A framework for critical thinking, rational thinking, and intelligence. In D. D. Preiss & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *Innovations in educational psychology: Perspectives on learning, teaching, and human development* (pp. 195–237). New York, NY: Springer.
- Stanovich, K. E., & West, R. F. (1997). Reasoning independently of prior beliefs and individual differences in actively open-minded thinking. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 89(2), 342–357. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.89.2.342>
- Tan, C. (2017). A Confucian conception of critical thinking. *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 51(1), 331–343. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9752.12228>
- Taube, K. T. (1997). Critical thinking ability and disposition as factors of performance on a written critical thinking test. *Journal of General Education*, 46(2), 129–164.
- Thayer-Bacon, B. J. (1992). A feminine reconceptualization of critical thinking theory. *Journal of Thought*, 27(1/2), 4–17.
- Thayer-Bacon, B. J. (1999). The thinker versus a quilting bee: Contrasting images. *The Journal of Educational Foundations*, 13(4), 47–65.
- Toplak, M. E., & Stanovich, K. E. (2002). The domain specificity and generality of disjunctive reasoning: Searching for a generalizable critical thinking skill. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 94(1), 197–209. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.94.1.197>
- Wang, S. (2017). An exploration into research on critical thinking and its cultivation: An overview. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 7(12), 1266. <https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.0712.14>

## **Appendix B: Coding scheme development**

### **Initial themes derived from hermeneutic literature review:**


evidence assessment; logical reasoning and argument; creativity and imagination; openness and sensitivity to point of view; reflection and metacognition; making judgements and reaching conclusions; democratic engagement and social justice; ethics; locating information.

### **Full list of themes used in paper coding:**

Affect  
Authority  
Bias detection  
Citizenship and Democracy  
Cognition  
Content evaluation  
Create new  
Critical Theory  
Curiosity  
Disposition  
Drawing conclusions  
Embodiment  
Empathy  
Engagement  
Epistemology  
Ethics  
Generalisability  
    General  
    Specific  
Goal-directedness  
Habits  
IL CT relationship  
Imagination  
Inquiry or discourse  
Knowledge building  
Life-long learning  
Logical reasoning  
Managing info  
Metacognition  
Openness  
Point of view  
Reflection  
Search skills  
Social  
Source evaluation  
Specific

## Values

### Cluster analysis of themes:



## Authority and Discourse

Specific



Goal-directedness

IL CT relationship

Imagination and Creativity

- Create new

- Curiosity

- Empathy

Information skills

- Content evaluation

- Managing info

- Search skills

- Source evaluation

- Tools and Technology

Knowledge

- Epistemology

  - Truth

- Knowledge building

Logical reasoning

- Bias detection

- Drawing conclusions

Openness and Point of View

- Openness

- Point of view

Psychological processes

- Affect

- Cognition

- Embodiment

Social values

- Citizenship and Democracy

- Critical Theory

Ethics

Values

Social

Traits

Disposition

Engagement

Habits

Life-long learning

Metacognition

Reflection